

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 JACOB R. PRATT,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 JAMES COX, *et al.*,

9 Defendant.

*) * * *

) 3:11-CV-00604-RCJ-WGC

) O R D E R


10 _____
11 The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
12 (ECF #31) entered on December 19, 2012, in which the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court
13 enter an order granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF #19) and denying Plaintiff's
14 Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF #26). The Court has considered the pleadings and
15 memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record and has made a review and
16 determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable case law, and
17 good cause appearing, the court hereby

18 ADOPTS AND ACCEPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States
19 Magistrate Judge (ECF #31).

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF #19)
21 is GRANTED.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF
23 #26) is DENIED. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED this 25TH day of February, 2013.

25 
26 ROBERT C. JONES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE